```
1
   Alan M. Klein, State Bar No. 92785
   LAW OFFICE OF ALAN M. KLEIN CORP.
   16311 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1200
   Encino, California 91436
   Telephone: (818) 986-3141
4
   Facsimile: (818) 986-8141
5
   Attorney for Defendants Mackson, Inc, Zulily, LLC,
6
   And Burlington Coat Factory Direct Corp.
7
   Andrew V. Jablon
   Resch Polster & Berger LLP
8
   1840 Century Park East, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor
   Los Angeles, CA 90067
   Telephone: (310) 788-7524
10
   Facsimile: (310) 788-6624
11
   Co-Counsel for Defendant Burlington Coat Factory Direct Corp.
12
13
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
14
                    CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15
16
   STAR FABRICS, INC.
                                        Case No. 2:15-CV-05075-DSF-RAO
   a California Corporation,
17
                                        DEFENDANTS MACKSON, INC.'S,
   Plaintiff,
                                        ZULILY, LLC'S AND
                                        BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY
         VS.
19
                                        DIRECT CORP.'S ANSWER TO
                                        FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT OF
   MACKSON, INC., a New York
20
                                        STAR FABRICS, INC.
   Corporation; ZULILY, INC., a
   Delaware Corporation; BURLINGTON)
21
   COAT FACTORY DIRECT CORP., a )
   Delaware Corporation; ROSS
22
   STORES, INC., a Delaware
   Corporation; and DOES 1 through 10,
23
   Defendants.
24
25
         Defendants, Mackson, Inc. ("Mackson"), Zulily, LLC ("Zulily") and
26
   Burlington Coat Factory Direct Corp. ("Burlington") (collectively hereinafter
27
       DEFENDANTS MACKSON, INC.'S, ZULILY, LLC'S AND BURLINGTON COAT
     FACTORY DIRECT CORP.'S ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT OF STAR
28
                                 FABRICS, INC.
```

6

7

1. Answering ¶1 of the FAC, Defendants admit that this action purports to be an action under the Copyright Act of 1976, Title 17 U.S.C., 101 et seq. and

denies the remaining allegations contained therein.

remaining allegations contained therein.

5

2. Answering ¶2 of the FAC, Defendants admit that this Court has federal question jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 1338(a) and deny the

8

3. Answering ¶3 of the FAC, Defendants admit that venue in this judicial district is proper under 28 U.S.C. 1391(c) and 1400(a) and deny the remaining allegations contained therein.

11

12

13

10

4. Answering ¶4 of the FAC, Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and therefore deny the same.

14

15

16

17

5. Answering ¶5 of the FAC, Mackson admits that it is a corporation existing under the laws of the State of New York, with a principal place of business located at 1407 Broadway, Suite 2116B, New York, New York 10018 and is doing business in the state of California and denies the remaining allegations contained therein.

18 19

6. Answering ¶5 of the FAC, Zulily is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies the same.

2122

23

24

20

7. Answering ¶5 of the FAC, Burlington is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies the same.

2526

27 | 28 |

4 5

6

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24

25 26

27

28

Answering ¶8 of the FAC, Defendants are without knowledge or 14. information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained

therein and therefore denies the same.

- 8. Answering ¶6 of the FAC, Zulily admits that it has a principal place of business in Seattle, Washington and denies the remaining allegations contained therein.
- Answering ¶6 of the FAC, Mackson is without knowledge or 9. information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies the same.
- 10. Answering ¶6 of the FAC, Burlington is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies the same.
- 11. Answering ¶7 of the FAC, Burlington admits that it is a corporation existing under the laws of the State of Delaware, with a principal place of business located at 2006 Route 130 North Florence, New Jersey 08518 and is doing business in the state of California and denies the remaining allegations contained therein.
- Answering ¶7 of the FAC, Mackson is without knowledge or 12. information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies the same.
- Answering ¶7 of the FAC, Zulily is without knowledge or information 13. sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and therefore denies the same.
- Answering ¶9 of the FAC, Defendants are without knowledge or 15. information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and therefore deny the same.
  - DEFENDANTS MACKSON, INC.'S, ZULILY, LLC'S AND BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY DIRECT CORP.'S ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT OF STAR FABRICS, INC.

8 9

10

11 12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

- 21. Answering ¶13 of the FAC, Burlington admits that it has sold
- garments under SKU 630-65479773 and bearing the label "She's Cool" and RN
- 110724 FBurlington deries that nitches sold fabrig, distably by and or sold garanents FACTORY DIRECT CORP.'S ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT OF STAR FABRICS, INC.

- 17. Answering ¶11 of the FAC, Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and therefore deny the same.
- 18. Answering ¶12 of the FAC, Defendants are without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein and therefore deny the same.
- 19. Answering ¶13 of the FAC, Mackson admits that it sold garments featuring a design to Zulily, Burlington and Ross, having pattern No. 7529. Mackson denies that the design is substantially similar to the Subject Design and was without Plaintiff's authorization. Except as so admitted or denied, Mackson is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 13, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation.
- 20. Answering ¶13 of the FAC, Zulily admits that it has sold garments under SKU 3835148 and bearing the label "She's Cool" and RN 110724. Zulily denies that it has sold fabric, distributed and/or sold garments featuring a design which is substantially similar to Subject Design without Plaintiff's authorization. Except as so admitted or denied, Zulily is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 13, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation.

featuring a design which is substantially similar to Subject Design without Plaintiff's authorization. Except as so admitted or denied, Burlington is without sufficient knowledge or information to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 13, and on that basis denies each and every remaining allegation.

22. Answering ¶14 of the FAC, each Defendant admits that an exemplar of the Subject Product is shown and each Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein as they pertain to the Subject Design and as to the other Defendants and therefore denies the same.

#### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

- 23. Answering ¶15 of the FAC, Defendants repeat, reallege and incorporate herein by reference as through fully set forth their respective answers to the preceding paragraphs as if set forth herein verbatim.
- 24. Answering ¶16 of the FAC, each Defendant denies the allegations contained therein as they pertain to it and each Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein as they pertain to the other Defendants and therefore denies the same.
- 25. Answering ¶17 of the FAC, Mackson admits that it is a garment vendor and that it supplied garments composed of fabric having a print design to Zulily and Burlington and denies the remaining allegations contained therein that pertain to Mackson and is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they pertain to the other Defendants and therefore denies the same.
- 26. Answering ¶17 of the FAC, Zulily admits that Mackson is a garment vendor and that Mackson supplied garments composed of fabric having a print designated print designated the remaining allegations are printed that the pertain to FACTORY DIRECT CORP.'S ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT OF STAR FABRICS, INC.

7 8

9

11

10

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

24

25

27

26

28

- Zulily and is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they pertain to the other Defendants and therefore denies the same.
- 27. Answering ¶17 of the FAC, Burlington admits that Mackson is a garment vendor and that Mackson supplied garments composed of fabric having a print design to it and denies the remaining allegations contained therein that pertain to Burlington and is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations as they pertain to the other Defendants and therefore denies the same.
- 28. Answering ¶¶18, 19, 20, and 21 of the FAC, each Defendant denies the allegations contained therein as they pertain to it and each Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the allegations contained therein as they pertain to the other Defendants and therefore denies the same.
- Defendants deny that Plaintiff is entitled to any of the relief set forth 29. therein.

# FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

30. Plaintiff's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because they fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

### SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

31. Plaintiff is barred from seeking the relief requested in the FAC, in whole or in part, because Plaintiff does not possess a valid copyright registration for the Subject Design.

### THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

32. On information and belief, Plaintiff is barred from seeking the relief requested in the FAC, in whole or in part, because Plaintiff abandoned its copyrighten by an privilegely on the copyrighten by an entities and the design and coat FACTORY DIRECT CORP.'S ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT OF STAR FABRICS, INC.

1	expectation that the Subject Design would be copied and by permitting and
2	allowing the Subject Design to be copied without taking any measures to prevent
3	copying or otherwise protect its purported copyrights.
4	FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
5	33. On information and belief, Plaintiff is barred from seeking the relief
6	requested in the FAC, in whole or in part, because Plaintiff consented and
7	acquiesced to any copying by purposefully providing its designs to entities with th
8	desire and expectation that those designs would then be copied without its express
9	permission.
10	<u>FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE</u>
11	34. Plaintiff is barred from seeking the relief requested in the FAC, in
12	whole or in part, because Defendants did not infringe the Subject Design.
13	SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
14	35. On information and belief, the Subject Design is in the public domain
15	SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
16	36. None of the elements of the Subject Design are copyrightable either
17	separately or together.
18	EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
19	37. The Subject Design lacks the originality necessary to make it
20	protectable under the Copyright Laws of the United States.
21	NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
22	38. On information and belief, Plaintiff is not the true and correct owner
23	of the Subject Design.
24	TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
25	39. Blue Studio is not the true and correct author of the Subject Design.
26	ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE
27 28	DEFENDANTS MACKSON, INC.'S, ZULILY, LLC'S AND BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY DIRECT CORP.'S ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT OF STAR

January 21, 2008.

40.

3

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

On information and belief, the Subject Design was published prior to

41. On information and belief, Plaintiff is barred from seeking the relief requested in the FAC, in whole or in part, because Plaintiff failed to mitigate damages insofar as it purposefully provided the Subject Design to entities with the desire and expectation that the Subject Design would be copied, allowed the Subject Design to be copied without taking any protective measures to prevent copying, failed to assert its rights when it first learned of any copying, and waited to file this lawsuit for the purpose of maximizing the amount of damages.

#### THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

42. On information and belief, Plaintiff is barred from seeking the relief requested in the FAC, in whole or in part, because Plaintiff acted with unclean hands insofar as it purposefully provided the Subject Design to entities with the desire and expectation that the Subject Design would be copied and allowed the Subject Design to be copied without taking any protective measures to prevent copying for the express purpose of instituting lawsuits against similar designs.

# FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff is barred from seeking the relief requested in the FAC, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of Copyright Misuse insofar as Plaintiff's conduct in purposefully providing the Subject Design to entities with the desire and expectation that the Subject Design would be copied so that Plaintiff could bring lawsuits against the Defendants over similar, competitive designs is in violation of antitrust laws or otherwise anti-competitive in restraining the development of competing products, an attempt to illegally extend its limited monopoly, and contrary to the public policies underlying the Copyright laws.

DEFENDANTS MACKSON, INC.'S, ZULILY, LLC'S AND BURLINGTON COAT FACTORY DIRECT CORP.'S ANSWER TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT OF STAR FABRICS, INC.

FABRICS, INC.

28